

## BEFORE THE CURTAIN GOES UP

*Was the Banquet Made for "To the Ladies" or Vice Versa?  
Plight of "The Guilty One's" Authors—Two Revues*

MORE thrills, more mystery and more spookiness will be handed over the footlights to Philadelphia theatre-goers next week when "The Monster" begins an engagement at the Walnut.

The other local houses will continue with the attractions they had this week. They are as follows: "To the Ladies," with its humorous tilting against banquets and banqueting; "The Guilty One," melodrama, with Pauline Frederick, at the Adelphi; "Blossom Time," at the Lyric; Robert B. Mantell in repertory, at the Broad; "The Passing Show of 1922," at the Shubert, and "Scandals," at the Forrest.

Was "To the Ladies" built for that famous banquet scene or was the banqueting scene built for "To the Ladies?"

That question is bound to occur to many of those who see this little gem of a comedy at the Garrick. Not that it makes any difference which is built to enjoy the performance, for it doesn't, but we've been wondering whether George Kaufman and Marc Connally, the authors, were struck by the chance for satire in our modern-day banquets and decided to write a play using some such satire, or whether they were writing a play about a wife who was responsible for her more or less incapable husband's success and built up the banquet scene after they got going.

As a matter of fact, we're quite willing to admit that "To the Ladies" is not so good as "The Guilty One," but it seemed about 50 degrees more amusing, for a hybrid and would make the talkiness of the first act, in which there was evidence of an attempt to present a domestic problem, all the more culpable.

In some ways, "The Guilty One" is an added example of the lengths to which some playwrights of today will go in order to get the audience's attention, but it's not so good as "The Guilty One," good as it was, must be left out of the discussion, since it is a bit hard to figure where Harry Leon Wilson, the author, left off and Kaufman and Connally, the playwrights, began.

We'd like to add that "To the Ladies" is much the better play, though, when the riveting Wednesday matinee, when the riveting office which was supposed to distract the office in the last act receives a burly echo in reality from a building skyscraper near the theatre, and when the book of taxi-cabs and touring cars in the first act was rivaled by real cars outside in the street.

The first act was delightful because of its human domestic touches, its delicious and quite unaffected lovemaking and tragedy of the piano which the installment men came to take away.

The second act was, of course, the best of the bunch, but the excellent comedy scenes of our old friend, Louis Harrison, as the politician who brought a talk on pianos around to a certain party in Washington, and got up and left as soon as he finished because he had another speech to make upstairs.

The third act was also quite agreeable, but it also had some of the most vicious satire of the play when it veered around to the business of wives' control over their husbands' business.

As was hinted in the review of this play, the authors' attack, or perhaps it would be better to say satire, is two-pronged. One prong is aimed at the unkind wife, the other at the husband who relies on their wives, and the other aims at faults of banqueting.

In fact, Kaufman and Connally seemed to be so bubbling over in a satirical vein that they took random shots at other subjects, too. These formed detached and often obtrusive features in a play which was good enough to contain whole themes for other plays, much as Joseph Conrad's novels contain extraneous bits and fragmentary characters that seem to beg for further exploitation.

It may be admitted readily that one of the causes for depressing a preference for "To the Ladies" as opposed to "Duley" rests in the much sterner acting of the play now in the city. Outside of Lynn Fontanne, "Duley" was rather shabbily portrayed here, whereas "To the Ladies" was in addition to the unusually talented and nimble Helen Hayes, almost perfect mimicry by Harispson, Isabel Irving and Carl Anthony, who seemed to improve a second viewing of the play.

Mr. MANTELL will add one of his newest characterizations to his repertoire of his present engagement Thursday night, when he will present "Louis XI." As that heat and crowded monarch, warped both in body and soul, this is an effort that has backed Mantell's "Richard III" to be given, as usual, on the last night of his engagement.

Maude Desmond in Force

Maude Desmond has selected the force-Twin Beds" with which to welcome in the New Year and it will be presented at the Desmond Theatre next week beginning with a special matinee Monday afternoon, this being in addition to the three regular matinees.

Arthur Nikisch once said, "It is very easy to conduct. You simply pick up the baton and make the necessary motions. If you can, you can, and if you can't, you can't."

While this is a rather broad statement, it is considerably more than a grain of truth. Reduced to its lowest common denominator, it means that if the person has the real gift for conducting he can do it, and if he hasn't, he cannot be learned.

This same thing is true to a certain extent of every one of the great branches of the art of music. Any one with sufficient practice and diligence can learn to play an instrument and any one who will have the necessary time and study to it can learn to compose, but very few can play like Kreisler or compose like Brahms. In the same way the technique of conducting may be learned, but the mind, soul, and spirit which accompanies the great talent must be added to the study if great results are to be achieved.

WAGNER was probably the greatest of all those who both conducted a great deal and who composed works of the first rank. But it might be observed that a symphonist, as he is called an instrumentalist, as he played no instrument well enough ever to appear as a soloist.

Haydn and Schubert were also important performers, in so far as public appearances are concerned, and Schubert had to relinquish his dream of being a piano virtuoso after he trained his voice to sing. He was a fine pianist, as was Chopin, and Wagner was equally great as composer and conductor.

But the list nearly exhausts the list of the great talents who divided their abilities, and even of those Mendelssohn and Chopin were far better known for their compositions than for their interpretative talents. Liszt's reputation as the greatest player of all time still overshadows his fame as a composer, as does Rubinstein, although conducting of Liszt are held in high esteem by his own fairly well, while Rubinstein is losing each year in the field in which he most desired to excel.

MODERN conductors have made a study of the art of "playing on the orchestra," and this is the result of the last three-quarters of a century. Up to the time when the orchestral compositions of Beethoven, Mozart and other classic masters began to be established, the conductor was the chief of the orchestra, with the intention of supporting the soloists. This plan was carried into effect, Mr. Stokowski, as is well known, is a fine organist, an instrument from which relatively few conductors, except Sir Charles, Bach, and the like, have succeeded.

Now absolute correctness of performance must be had before the real work of the conductor can be done.

Therefore it does not matter much what instrument a conductor studied in his earlier days, for that matter, whether he studied any instrument at all, may have any effect upon his conducting. If there have been more pianists than violinists who became fine conductors it was a circumstance and not a reason, And, in this connection, it is worth mentioning that perhaps the greatest Wagnerian conductor of all time, Hans Richter, played the Flute, and was, with Franz Strauss, the father of Richard Strauss, the greatest player of that instrument of his time. Of course, Richter had the inestimable advantage of being associated closely with Wagner during the composition of some of his greatest works; but, nevertheless, he had the conductorial talent to a high degree.

THE pits that playwrights sometimes dig for themselves are both curious and difficult of escape. Michael Morton and Peter Trall put themselves in just such a hole when they wrote "The Scandalous One."

A husband and wife whose happy marriage is on the verge of splitting on the domestic rocks are presented to us in the first act. East character is carefully tabulated and described—the husband, a returned soldier who has married during his absence, the wife, gassing and gadding, and the wife depicted as his hussy, especially as she remembers the aforementioned week of pleasure. The third point of the triangle is supplied by another ex-Tommy, a wife carrying on an affair which she says is platonic, but which has entered the actual stage.

The husband, finally determined to end a situation which has become intolerable, threatens the other man, and, as the curtain falls, shows unmistakable signs of an intention to rid himself of his rival.

In the second act he enters, much disgusted as the novelists have it, and finds his wife has come to the rescue of her lover.

At an instant all her sympathies veer around to her husband and she is determined to protect him.

Now her wife's solicitude is all very well, but there can be very little sympathy for this cold-blooded slayer. His deed was avowedly planned to inflict pain, not to kill. The plot of "The Guilty One" certainly wasn't built for that kind of a denouement. It is beyond question, simply a melodrama built for entertainment purposes and bears little relation to life or reality.

Those who have arranged matters this way, little wonder they chose of putting the responsibility of the crime on the shoulders of another—can know the kind, just about to shoot or stab—suddenly mysterious dark figure approaches and does bloody deed himself—accused man flies away horrified.

The trick ending of "The Guilty One" was the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

It might be argued that this surprise twist at the end was the genesis of "The Gay Masquerade," the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

Starting with a midnight performance beginning one minute after 12 tomorrow night, the Elmette Welch Minstrels will usher in the New Year with a varied program. "When Knighton Came" will be the opening number for a second week. Withish, the jingler, will have new stunts and Lee and Lee will again be seen in "A Letter From Home," a combination of fun and pathos. Benjie Franklin and Baby Ruth Everly will present old-time songs, and Emmett Welch will sing new ballads.

It might be argued that this surprise twist at the end was the genesis of "The Gay Masquerade," the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

Travesties at Dumont's

Starting with a midnight performance beginning one minute after 12 tomorrow night, the Elmette Welch Minstrels will usher in the New Year with a varied program. "When Knighton Came" will be the opening number for a second week. Withish, the jingler,

will have new stunts and Lee and Lee will again be seen in "A Letter From Home," a combination of fun and pathos. Benjie Franklin and Baby Ruth Everly will present old-time songs, and Emmett Welch will sing new ballads.

## STARS OF THE STAGE COMING HERE NEXT WEEK



## Shows That Are Coming to Philadelphia Soon

January 4—"The Torch Bearers," George Kelly comedy, Garrick. "The Perfect Fool," with Ed Wynn, Forrest, "Sherlock Holmes," with William Gaxton, "The Springtime of Youth," with George MacFarlane, Shubert. January 15—"The Passing Show of 1922," with Charles Cherry, Walnut. "The Cat and the Canary," Adelphi. January 29—"Shore Leave," with Frances Starr, Broad.

February 3—"To the Ladies," with Pauline Frederick, Broad.

The whole play (a fact not improbable) but this would be a trifling offhand.

"The legend of the Movies," good as it was, must be left out of the discussion, since it is a bit hard to figure where Harry Leon Wilson, the author, left off and Kaufman and Connally, the playwrights, began.

In some ways, "The Guilty One" is an added example of the lengths to which some playwrights of today will go in order to get the audience's attention, after all, and despite the splendid acting on the part of Pauline Frederick, Charles Dalton and Charles Waldron.

"The Guilty One" is merely melodrama, and whatever suggestions of comedy drama, problem play or character study there may be—they remain merely suggestions.

THE weaknesses of this play are all the more unfortunate in view of Miss Frederick's best chances. There are no many roles which she could play so beautifully that it seems a shame to have her back from the film to create his highly sensitized London gentleman. However, how perfectly she would fit into the role that Mrs. Hayes was meant to play in "Langdon Mitchells" or "The New York Idea" or some of the creations of what have been spoken of as "horsey women" which Irene introduced into his earlier plays!

AFTER remarking that there is probably some little difference in reviews, it may be added that both George White's "Scandals" and "The Passing Show of 1922" are cut after a familiar pattern. Personally, we much preferred the former, which marked a distinct advance over the others in White's series.

WE'RE saying this week that the best of the little differences in reviews, little difference between the two plays, is that we saw "To the Ladies" at the Garrick, while the other two were at the Adelphi. The Garrick's "To the Ladies" was the better, and the other two were at the Garrick.

As was hinted in the review of this play, the authors' attack, or perhaps it would be better to say satire, is two-pronged.

One prong is aimed at the unkind wife,

and the other at the husband who relies on their wives, and the other aims at faults of banqueting.

In fact, Kaufman and Connally seemed often on the verge of paying their respects to other little business and social blunders. Thus, a brief mention of an inefficient efficiency department in the last act which had nothing to do with the main action, but brought a laugh, and every now and then the authors showed their acquaintance with money-making by clever touches.

THE critics talk to music lovers

BY SAMUEL L. LACIAR

THE appears next week of Georges Enesco, potentially and perhaps actually one of the world's greatest violinists, as guest conductor of the Philadelphia Orchestra, brings up the subject of great instrumentalists who have also been great conductors. There are relatively few of them who have achieved distinction in both fields, just as there are few composers of high rank who have been either great instrumentalists or great conductors.

In each of these great fields of the musical art the chosen branch appears to be a jealous mistress and the person who would succeed in any one must give his whole time and attention to it. There have been exceptions, of course;

Arthur Nikisch once said, "It is very easy to conduct. You simply pick up the baton and make the necessary motions. If you can, you can, and if you can't, you can't."

While this is a rather broad statement, it is considerably more than a grain of truth. Reduced to its lowest common denominator, it means that the person has the real gift for conducting he can do it, and if he hasn't, he cannot be learned.

This same thing is true to a certain extent of every one of the great branches of the art of music. Any one with sufficient practice and diligence can learn to play an instrument and any one who will have the necessary time and study to it can learn to compose, but very few can play like Kreisler or compose like Brahms. In the same way the technique of conducting may be learned, but the mind, soul, and spirit which accompanies the great talent must be added to the study if great results are to be achieved.

MODERN conductors have made a study of the art of "playing on the orchestra," and this is the result of the last three-quarters of a century. Up to the time when the orchestral compositions of Beethoven, Mozart and other classic masters began to be established, the conductor was the chief of the orchestra, with the intention of supporting the soloists. This plan was carried into effect, Mr. Stokowski, as is well known, is a fine organist, an instrument from which relatively few conductors, except Sir Charles, Bach, and the like, have succeeded.

Therefore it does not matter much what instrument a conductor studied in his earlier days, for that matter, whether he studied any instrument at all, may have any effect upon his conducting. If there have been more pianists than violinists who became fine conductors it was a circumstance and not a reason, And, in this connection, it is worth mentioning that perhaps the greatest Wagnerian conductor of all time, Hans Richter, played the Flute, and was, with Franz Strauss, the father of Richard Strauss, the greatest player of that instrument of his time. Of course, Richter had the inestimable advantage of being associated closely with Wagner during the composition of some of his greatest works; but, nevertheless, he had the conductorial talent to a high degree.

THE pits that playwrights sometimes dig for themselves are both curious and difficult of escape. Michael Morton and Peter Trall put themselves in just such a hole when they wrote "The Scandalous One."

A husband and wife whose happy marriage is on the verge of splitting on the domestic rocks are presented to us in the first act. East character is carefully tabulated and described—the husband, a returned soldier who has married during his absence, the wife, gassing and gadding, and the wife depicted as his hussy, especially as she remembers the aforementioned week of pleasure. The third point of the triangle is supplied by another ex-Tommy, a wife carrying on an affair which she says is platonic, but which has entered the actual stage.

The husband, finally determined to end a situation which has become intolerable, threatens the other man, and, as the curtain falls, shows unmistakable signs of an intention to rid himself of his rival.

In the second act he enters, much disgusted as the novelists have it, and finds his wife has come to the rescue of her lover.

At an instant all her sympathies veer around to her husband and she is determined to protect him.

Now her wife's solicitude is all very well, but there can be very little sympathy for this cold-blooded slayer. His deed was avowedly planned to inflict pain, not to kill. The plot of "The Guilty One" certainly wasn't built for that kind of a denouement. It is beyond question, simply a melodrama built for entertainment purposes and bears little relation to life or reality.

Those who have arranged matters this way, little wonder they chose of putting the responsibility of the crime on the shoulders of another—can know the kind, just about to shoot or stab—suddenly mysterious dark figure approaches and does bloody deed himself—accused man flies away horrified.

The trick ending of "The Guilty One" was the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

Travesties at Dumont's

Starting with a midnight performance beginning one minute after 12 tomorrow night, the Elmette Welch Minstrels will usher in the New Year with a varied program. "When Knighton Came" will be the opening number for a second week. Withish, the jingler,

will have new stunts and Lee and Lee will again be seen in "A Letter From Home," a combination of fun and pathos. Benjie Franklin and Baby Ruth Everly will present old-time songs, and Emmett Welch will sing new ballads.

It might be argued that this surprise twist at the end was the genesis of "The Gay Masquerade," the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

Travesties at Dumont's

Starting with a midnight performance beginning one minute after 12 tomorrow night, the Elmette Welch Minstrels will usher in the New Year with a varied program. "When Knighton Came" will be the opening number for a second week. Withish, the jingler,

will have new stunts and Lee and Lee will again be seen in "A Letter From Home," a combination of fun and pathos. Benjie Franklin and Baby Ruth Everly will present old-time songs, and Emmett Welch will sing new ballads.

It might be argued that this surprise twist at the end was the genesis of "The Gay Masquerade," the only one that could have been foisted by one who took the trouble to analyze the action of the first two acts. We are still bound by the very nature of the plot to keep silent on the exact nature of that end, but a trick it certainly was and its justification—necessity.

Travesties at Dumont's

Starting with a midnight performance beginning one minute after 12 tomorrow night, the Elmette Welch Minstrels will usher in the New Year with a varied program. "When Knighton Came" will be the opening number for a second week. Withish, the jingler,